

From: Nancy Brockway
To: [Michael Skiendzielewski](#)
Cc: [Cody Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Message re upcoming WRB public hearing
Date: Friday, April 27, 2018 1:19:39 PM

Dear Mr. Skiendzielewski,
I have your email regarding further testimony at a public comment hearing, and the motion to certify the question of recusal.

You have chosen to be a formal participant in the rate case. If you continue to participate in the formal technical hearings, I cannot give you a second opportunity to speak at a public comment hearing. These public comment hearings are intended to give the public an opportunity to put their views to the Board. Participants in the technical hearings have other opportunities to put their views before the Board.

As to the motion for certification of the recusal question, I will take your email and url reference to be your submission to the Board of your position.

Finally, I need to remind you that there are procedural rules that formal participants must follow in their communications with the Board. These are posted on the web site. Among other things, it is not up to the Board or the Hearing Officer to serve your correspondence on the service list. For future communications as a formal participant, please check the rules, and send a copy of your correspondence to the service list when you submit it to me or the Board. I will ask Mr. Williams to send this email and yours to the service list.

Best,
Nancy Brockway
Hearing Officer

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 27, 2018, at 12:59 PM, Michael Skiendzielewski <skiadvocat@aol.com> wrote:

Ms. Brockway:

Participation in this process (WRB hearings) most certainly falls under the heading "be careful what you wish for".

In any event, absent a last-minute miracle from a senior attorney in the City Solicitor's Office who related that he has ".....prepared a draft response, which is under review....." re a possible resolution to long standing PWD-related matters, I intend once again to attend the WRB hearing, the one scheduled for April 30, 2018 at the Protestant Home on Martin's Mill Road.

Certainly, I will guarantee that my presentation will be much shorter in duration and if you wish, you can specify the amount of time allowed.

However, I ask that you permit my presentation to be near the start of the public presentations and I will attempt again to be early enough to be one of the first sign-ups for public presentation. I think all parties are best served if my presence and presentation is as brief as possible.

My personal comment on the appropriateness of the City Treasurer sitting on the Water Rate Board has to do with the reasons supplied by WRB counsel for allowing Ms. Johnson to remain in her position on the WRB. I could be wrong, but Mr. Hertzler's "justification" seems awfully familiar to this writer, to wit the following link is provided:

[https://www.phila.gov/ethicsboard/General%20Counsel/GC2015-501%20Final%20\(Signed\).pdf](https://www.phila.gov/ethicsboard/General%20Counsel/GC2015-501%20Final%20(Signed).pdf)

And yet, so much scrutiny and oversight re law enforcement conduct, decision-making and ethics.....seems like ALL public officials could benefit from a similar approach.

Michael Skiendzielewski
Captain (Retired)
Philadelphia Police Dept.